

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/757,882	01/15/2004	Gordon F. Caruk	1376-0200400 5178 EXAMINER		
34456 75	590 01/19/2006				
TOLER & LARSON & ABEL L.L.P.			RAY, GOPAL C		
5000 PLAZA C AUSTIN, TX	ON THE LAKE STE 265 78746		ART UNIT PAPER NUMI		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2111		
			DATE MAILED: 01/19/200	DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/757,882	CARUK, GORDON F.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Gopal C. Ray	2111
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	 lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 January 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·	
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2 Certified copies of the priority documents 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen			
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/757,882 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-30 in the reply filed on 12/19/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that the examination of all the claims is not believed to create an undue burden to the USPTO and the subject matter among the groups is not independent and distinct as required by the statue. This is not found persuasive because claims 31 and 32 specifically recite "a first PCI Express lane buffer" and "a second lane buffer" which none of the claims in Group I, claims 1-30 recites which would require further consideration and additional search. Therefore, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Applicant should cancel claims 31 and 32 in response to this office action. Claims 1-30 are presented for examination.

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is <u>clearly indicative</u> of the invention to which the claims are directed. The examiner believes that the title of the invention is broad. A descriptive title indicative of the invention will help in proper indexing, classifying, searching, etc. See MPEP 606.01. However, the title of the invention should be limited to 500 characters.
- 3. The drawings filed on 1/15/04 are acceptable by the examiner for examination purposes. However, the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) reviews drawings initially for publication purposes. Direct any inquiries concerning drawing review for publication purposes to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE). See MPEP 507 for detail information.
- 4. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 5. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Application/Control Number: 10/757,882

Art Unit: 2111

applicant regards as the invention. The examiner notes the following ambiguities.

However, all claims should be revised carefully to eliminate all grammatical errors and antecedent basis problems.

As per independent claim 1, the claim is vague and indefinite because of the word "type" in lines 3 and 5. The addition of the word "type" to an otherwise definite expression extends the scope of the expression so as to render it indefinite. For legal precedent, see *Ex parte Copenhaver*, 109 USPQ 118 (Bd. App. 1955).

As per independent claims 13, 17 and 30, the claims have similar problems as mentioned in claim 1 above. Applicant should cancel the word "type" from each claim and make appropriate changes to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter.

Furthermore, as to claims 13, 20 and 30, the claims are incomplete because "a first mode of operation" is claimed in each claim. However, it is unclear as to how many other modes are there in the system.

As per dependent claims 2-12, 14-16, 18, 19 and 21-29, the claims incorporate the deficiencies of the respective parent claims.

6. Claims 1-30 would be allowable over the prior art of record if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance:

The claimed invention is directed to "method and device for transmitting data".

The examiner has done complete search and found no prior art, alone or in combination, teaches or fairly suggests the limitation such as, "a first set of connections to support a symmetric PCI Express data transfer in a first mode of operation and a second set of connections to support an asymmetric PCI Express data transfer in a

Application/Control Number: 10/757,882

Art Unit: 2111

second mode of operation" in combination with other claimed elements as claimed in independent claim 1 and similar limitations in independent claim 13, 17, 20 and 30. Dependent claims 2-12, 14-16, 18, 19 and 21-29 further limit the subject matter of the respective parent claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted in response to this office action to avoid processing delays. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance".

If applicants are aware of any prior art better than those are of record, they are required to bring the prior art to the attention of the examiner. Applicants are also reminded that each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56. Applicants are advised to submit any information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is urged to consider the references. However, the references should be evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gopal C. Ray whose telephone number is (571) 272-3631. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 8:00 AM 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart, can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,882

Art Unit: 2111

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to TC central telephone number is (571) 272-2100. Moreover, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lastly, paper copies of cited U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications ceased to be mailed to applicants with office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of Foreign Patents and Non-Patent Literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications are available for download via Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from

Application/Control Number: 10/757,882 Page 6

Art Unit: 2111

the office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. Patent or Patent Application Publications will not be granted.

GÓPAL C. RAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2800